References

- Addison, A. W., Rao, T. N., Reedijk, J., Rijn, J. & Verschoor, G. C. (1984). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 1349–1356.
- Johnson, C. K. (1965). ORTEP. Report ORNL-3794. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Koman, M., Ďurčanská, E., Handlovič, M. & Gažo, J. (1983). Chem. Paper. 37, 749–756.
- Koman, M., Ďurčanská, E., Jóna, E. & Ondrejovič, G. (1991). CS Patent No. 274819.
- Koman, M., Handlovič, M., Ďurčanská, E. & Gažo, J. (1983). Chem. Paper. 37, 741-747.
- Koman, M., Jóna, E. & Ďurčanská, E. (1986). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 121, 47–51.
- Koman, M., Jóna, E. & Ďurčanská, E. (1992). Acta Cryst. C48, 1652– 1654.
- Melník, M., Šramko, T., Dunaj-Jurčo, M., Sirota, T. & Holloway, C. E. (1994). *Rev. Inorg. Chem.* 14, 1–346.
- Pavelčík, F. (1993). XP21. Pharmaceutical Faculty, Comenius University, Bratislava, Slovakia.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Syntex (1973). Syntex P2₁ Software. Syntex Analytical Instruments, 10040 Bubb Road, Cupertino, California 95014, USA.
- Valach, F., Sivý, P. & Koreň, B. (1984). Acta Cryst. C40, 957-959.

Acta Cryst. (1997). C53, 445-447

(SR,1R,2S)-1-(*tert*-Butylsulfinyl)-2-(hydroxymethyl)ferrocene

PAUL D. ROBINSON,^a DUY H. HUA,^b NADEGE M. LAGNEAU,^b PAUL M. ROBBEN^b AND CAL Y. MEYERS^c

^aDepartment of Geology, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale, IL 62901, USA, ^bDepartment of Chemistry, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS 66506, USA, and ^cDepartment of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale, IL 62901, USA. E-mail: robinson@geo.siu.edu

(Received 2 October 1996; accepted 2 December 1996)

Abstract

From the reaction of (SR)-(-)-tert-butylsulfinylferrocene with (2,4,6-triisopropylphenyl)lithium followed by paraformaldehyde, the title compound, [Fe(C₅H₅)(C₁₀H₁₅-O₂S)], was obtained as an unexpected by-product along with the major product, (SR,1S,2R)-2-(tert-butylsulfinyl)ferrocenylmethanol. The absolute structure of this byproduct could not be ascertained via NMR, but it was characterized by X-ray diffraction as (SR,1R,2S)-2-(tertbutylsulfinyl)ferrocenylmethanol, a diastereoisomer of the major product. The two cyclopentadienyl rings are rotated away from one another by 25.5 (5)° (from an eclipsed form towards a *gauche* form), in contrast to the mutually eclipsed rings generally found in monosubstituted ferrocenes. Hydrogen bonding between sulfoxide O atoms and hydroxyl H atoms produces infinite one-dimensional chains.

Comment

The regioselective deprotonation of (SR)-(-)-tert-butylsulfinylferrocene, (3), with (2,4,6-triisopropylphenyl)lithium, (4), provides (SR,1R,2S)-1-(tert-butylsulfinyl)-2-lithioferrocene, (5), which reacts with electrophiles to provide a facile and convenient method for the enantioselective synthesis of bidentate ferrocenes with a sulfur-containing substituent (Hua et al., 1996). When the electrophile was paraformaldehyde in this process, (SR, 1R, 2S) - 1 - (tert-butylsulfinyl) - 2 - (hydroxymethyl)ferrocene, (2), was isolated in 87% yield [based on reacted (3)] in 45 min. The same reaction with paraformaldehyde carried out at a slightly higher temperature over a 3 h period provided (2) [82% yield based on reacted (3)], along with one of its diastereomers, (1) [11% yield based on reacted (3)]. While the complete structure of (2) was previously determined (Hua et al., 1996), that of (1) remained to be solved. Since this could not be ascertained from ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy, an X-ray diffraction study was undertaken.



The X-ray structure of (1) with the atom numbering is shown in Fig. 1. Since the configuration at the S atom would be the same as that of substrate (3), *i.e.* SR, the absolute structure of (1) was assigned (SR,1R,2S)-1-(*tert*-butylsulfinyl)-2-(hydroxymethyl)ferrocene and was established by this analysis.



Fig. 1. The molecular structure and atom-numbering scheme for (1) with displacement ellipsoids at the 30% probability level. Cg1 and Cg2 are cyclopentadienyl-ring centroids. H atoms are shown as unlabeled isotropic spheres of arbitrary radii.

Mean C-C bond distances for the substituted and unsubstituted cyclopentadienyl rings are 1.421(7) and 1.401 (10) Å, respectively, and the mean Fe--C distance is 2.035 (6) Å. The S1-O1 and C1-C5 bond lengths of 1.504 (4) and 1.430 (7) Å, respectively, are longer than the corresponding bonds of 1.492(6) and 1.371(9) Å reported for 1-(tert-butylsulfinyl)-2-methylferrocene by Rebiere, Riant, Ricard & Kagan (1993), but the C2-C15 bond length of 1.493 (7) Å is shorter than the distance of 1.54(1) Å reported by that group for the corresponding bond of their methylferrocene compound. The distances from the Fe1 atom to the centroids of the cyclopentadienyl rings, Cg1 and Cg2, are 1.631(2) and 1.656(3) Å, respectively, and the Cg1—Fe1—Cg2 angle is $178.79(13)^\circ$. The angle C1—S1—C11 of $101.2(3)^{\circ}$ is slightly larger than the C—S—C angle of dimethyl sulfoxide (100°; Bastiansen & Viervoll, 1948). The mean value of the five torsion angles C1 - Cg1 - Cg2 - C6 through C5 - Cg1 - Cg2 - C10 is $25.5(5)^{\circ}$, indicating that the two cyclopentadienyl rings are significantly rotated with respect to one another. This conformation differs from the mutually eclipsed rings reported for monosubstituted ferrocenes by Ferguson, Glidewell & Scott (1995). The angle between the two cyclopentadienyl ring planes is $1.4(3)^{\circ}$.

Hydrogen bonding between the sulfinyl O1 atom of one molecule and the hydroxyl H2 atom of an adjacent molecule related by a unit-cell translation, leads to infinite one-dimensional molecular chains extending in the \mathbf{c} direction. The hydrogen-bond geometry is given in Table 1.

In a separate experiment, compound (1) was formed in high yield from the reaction of (SR, 1S, 2R)-tert-butylsulfinyl-2-lithioferrocene, (6), with paraformaldehyde. This result, in light of the fact that it is formed only slowly and as the minor product when (3) is the substrate, suggests that (6) is slowly generated from proton exchange between compound (5) and residual (3). It is also possible that compound (2*a*), the $-CH_2OLi$ form of (2), undergoes a reversible reaction back to (5), thereby continually providing (6) and, therefore, (1). These pathways are illustrated in the scheme above.

Experimental

Formation and isolation of compound (1): to a cold (195 K) solution of (2,4,6-triisopropylphenyl)lithium, (4) [prepared from the reaction of 0.38 mmol of 1-bromo-2,4,6-triisopropylbenzene with 0.7 mmol of tert-butyllithium (1.7 M solution in pentane) for 2.5 h], in 1 ml of THF under argon was added via cannula a cold (195 K) solution of 101 mg (0.35 mmol) of (SR)-(-)-*tert*-butylsulfinylferrocene, (3) $[\alpha]_D^{22} = -357.8^\circ$ (c = 0.505, CHCl₃), 100% optically pure; literature $[\alpha]_D^{22} = -339^{\circ}$ $(c = 0.505, CHCl_3)$ (Diter, Samuel, Taudien & Kagan, 1994)] in 2 ml of THF. This solution was maintained at 233 K for 2.5 h and a cold (233 K) suspension of 14 mg (0.47 mmol) of paraformaldehyde in 1 ml of THF was then added via cannula. After the mixture was allowed to reach 278 K over a 3 h period, 30 ml of brine was added. The resulting mixture was extracted with methylene chloride three times and the extracts combined, dried (MgSO₄), concentrated and columnchromatographed on silica gel using hexane and ether as eluant to give 44 mg of (2) [82% yield based on 48% reacted (3)], 6 mg of (1) [11% yield based on 48% reacted (3)] and 52.5 mg of (3) (52% recovery). Recrystallization of (1) from a mixture of ether and hexane gave brown crystals suitable for X-ray analysis [m.p. 438 K (dec.), $[\alpha]_D^{22} = -165^\circ$ (c = 0.1, CH₂Cl₂)].

Crystal data

| $[Fe(C_5H_5)(C_{10}H_{15}O_2S)]$ $M_r = 320.24$ Orthorhombic $P2_12_12_1$ a = 10.4082 (17) Å b = 19.453 (4) Å c = 7.3882 (19) Å $V = 1495.9 (5) \text{ Å}^3$ Z = 4 $D_x = 1.4219 (5) \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ | Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 0.71069$ Å Cell parameters from 25 reflections $\theta = 11.5-14.6^{\circ}$ $\mu = 1.14 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 296 K Prism $0.38 \times 0.27 \times 0.16 \text{ mm}$ Brown |
|---|--|
| $D_x = 1.4219 (5) \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ D_m not measured | Brown |
| Rigaku AFC-5S diffractom- | 1299 reflections with $l > \sigma(l)$ |

Right APC-33 diffraction1299 fenetetions with
 $l > \sigma(l)$ ω scans (rate 6° min⁻¹ in ω) $\theta_{max} = 25^{\circ}$ Absorption correction: $h = 0 \rightarrow 12$ empirical (ψ scans; North,
Phillips & Mathews,
1968) $l = 0 \rightarrow 8$ 1968)3 standard reflections
every 100 reflections
intensity decay: -0.5%1565 measured reflections

| $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.29 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ |
|--|
| $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.26 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ |
| Extinction correction: none |
| Scattering factors from Inter- |
| national Tables for X-ray |
| Crystallography (Vol. IV) |
| Absolute configuration: |
| confirmed by refinement |
| of the alternate enantiomer |
| which produced $R =$ |
| 0.045, wR = 0.045 and |
| S = 1.43 |
| |

Table 1. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °) and hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °)

| | 0 | 00 | • | | |
|-------------------------|---------|-------------|-----------|-------------------------|-----------|
| Fe1—Cg1 | | 1.631 (2) | S1—C11 | | 1.855 (5) |
| Fe1—Cg2 | | 1.656 (3) | O2—C15 | | 1.407 (7) |
| S1-01 | | 1.504 (4) | C1—C5 | | 1.430(7) |
| \$1—C1 | | 1.766 (4) | C2-C15 | | 1.493 (7) |
| Cg1-Fe1-Cg2 | | 178.79 (13) | S1 | C5 | 126.7 (4) |
| 01—S1—C1 | | 107.6 (2) | C1—C2—C15 | | 128.5 (4) |
| 01—S1—C11 | | 105.9 (2) | C3—C2—C15 | | 125.0 (5) |
| C1—S1—C11 | | 101.2 (3) | O2-C15-C2 | | 108.8 (5) |
| \$1—C1—C2 | | 123.8 (3) | | | |
| DH····A | | D—H | H···A | $D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$ | D—H···A |
| O2—H2···O1 ⁱ | | 0.99 (6) | 1.73 (6) | 2.711 (5) | 172 (6) |
| Summetry code | (i) r . | . 1 | | | |

Symmetry code: (i) x, y, 1 + z.

The Fe- and S-atom positions were provided by *SHELXS*86 (Sheldrick, 1985) and the remaining atomic sites were located with *DIRDIF* (Beurskens, 1984).

Data collection: MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1988). Cell refinement: MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software. Data reduction: TEXSAN PROCESS (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1985). Program(s) used to refine structure: TEXSAN LS. Molecular graphics: TEXSAN ORTEP (Johnson, 1965). Software used to prepare material for publication: TEXSAN FINISH and PLATON (Spek, 1990).

DHH thanks Research Corporation and National Science Foundation, EPSCoR program for financial support of this project.

Lists of atomic coordinates, displacement parameters, structure factors and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: FG1237). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

- Bastiansen, O. & Viervoll, H. (1948). Acta Chem. Scand. 2, 702-706. Beurskens, P. T. (1984). DIRDIF. Direct Methods for Difference Structures – an Automatic Procedure for Phase Extension and Refinement of Difference Structure Factors. Technical Report 1984/1. Crystallography Laboratory, Toernooiveld, 6525 ED Nijmegen, The Netherlands.
- Diter, P., Samuel, O., Taudien, S. & Kagan, H. B. (1994). Tetrahedron Asymm. 5, 549-552.
- Ferguson, G., Glidewell, C. & Scott, J. P. (1995). Acta Cryst. C51, 1989–1991.

Hua, D. H., Lagneau, N. M., Chen, Y., Robben, P. M., Clapham, G. & Robinson, P. D. (1996). J. Org. Chem. 61, 4508–4509.

- Johnson, C. K. (1965). ORTEP. Report ORNL-3794. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1985). TEXSAN. TEXRAY Structure Analysis Package. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1988). MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351-359.
- Rebiere, F., Riant, O., Ricard, L. & Kagan, H. B. (1993). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 32, 568-570.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1985). SHELXS86. Crystallographic Computing 3, edited by G. M. Sheldrick, C. Krüger & R. Goddard, pp. 175–189. Oxford University Press.
- Spek, A. L. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, C-34.

Acta Cryst. (1997). C53, 447-449

Tricarbonyl[3- $(\eta^5$ -cyclopentadienylcarbonylamino)propionic acid]rhenium

Abdelaziz Bennouna,^{*a*} Abdelhamid Mousser,^{*a*} Abdelaziz Gorfti,^{*b*} Michele Salmain,^{*b*} Claude Cabestaing^{*b*} and Gérard Jaouen^{*b*}

^aUniversité de Constantine, Institut de Chimie, Département de Chimie Inorganique, Route d'Ain El-Bey, Constantine 25000, Algeria, and ^bEcole Nationale Supérieure de Chimie, Laboratoire de Chimie Organométallique, 11, rue Pierre et Marie Curie, F-75231 Paris CEDEX 05, France. E-mail: jaouen@ext.jussieu.fr

(Received 1 July 1996; accepted 26 November 1996)

Abstract

The crystal structure of $[\text{Re}\{\eta^5-\text{C}_5\text{H}_4\text{CONH}(\text{CH}_2)_2-\text{COOH}\}(\text{CO})_3]$ is reported. This complex adopts a three-legged piano-stool geometry in the solid phase. The average bonding parameters are Re—C(η^5) 2.29 (1) and Re—CO 1.88 (1) Å. The dihedral angle between the planes formed by the CONH group and the cyclopenta-dienyl ring is 6.15°.

Comment

The selective acylation of amines with N-succinimidyl (Ns) esters is widely used in liquid-phase peptide synthesis (Anderson, Zimmerman & Callahan, 1964;